

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,990	09/972,990 10/10/2001		Andrew T. Bonnema	92872pus	4766	
6431	7590	09/05/2003				
HOFBAU		CIATES	EXAMINER			
SUITE 205 1455 LAKE	SHORE R		TRUONG, BAO Q			
BURLINGT CANADA	ron, on	L7S 2J1		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2875 DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/972,990	BONNEMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Bao Q. Truong	2875					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 July 2003						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ ⁻	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applicati							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-15 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-34</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	ion).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	,				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 6-15 drawn to an invention nonelected with non-traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Franks [US 2001/0036069].

Regarding claim 1, Franks discloses a suspended light source holder [5] having a light source holding portion [30] positioned within the interior of the jack-o-lantern [10], a top portion [15] and a securing means [35] securing the suspended light source holder to the top portion [15] of the jack-o-lantern [10] by way of friction engagement without extension on the securing means [35] through the top portion [15] to the exterior of the jack-o-lantern (figures 1 and 7, page 1 paragraph [0019]).

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 2, Franks discloses a spacing means [20] (figures 1 and 7).

Page 3

Regarding claim 3, Franks discloses the light source holding portion [30] being generally planar configuration (figure 1).

Regarding claim 4, Franks discloses a spacing means [20] being a rigid leg member, which has a leg upper end portion adjacent said securing means [35] and a leg lower end portion adjacent the light source holding portion [30] (figures 1 and 7).

Regarding claim 5, Franks discloses a securing means [35] having one barb member in substantially juxtaposed relation with the leg upper end portion of the rigid leg member [20] (figures 1 and 7, page 1 paragraph [0019]).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 16-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 16, the spacing means of the suspended light source holder comprises a first swivel member and a second mating swivel member.

Claims 17-21 and 28-34 are dependent on claim 16.

Claims 22-27, which have not been elected, are entitled to consideration of claims to allowance subject matter because they are dependent on claim 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

The limitations discussed above are neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant amends the securing means attached with the top portion of the jack-o-lantern by friction engagement and the securing means' extension through the top portion of the jack-o-lantern but the extension of the securing means does not through the top portion of the jack-o-lantern. Franks [US 2001/0036069] discloses the securing means [35] attacked the light source holder [30] to the top portion [15] of the jack-o-lantern [10] by way of friction engagement (integrally molding) without extension on the securing means [35] through the top portion [15] to the exterior of the jack-o-lantern. Another words, Franks discloses the securing means [35] being completely inside the top portion [15] (figures 1 and 7, page 1 paragraph [0019]).

Furthermore, the term "friction engagement" does not have any Patentability. The "friction engagement" occurs on any surface of contact. Hex nut and thread rod sufficiently obtain "friction engagement".

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Truong whose telephone number is (703) 308-6452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Bao Q. Truong

Examiner

Art Unit 2875

BQT

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800